



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,895	12/14/2005	Peter Geisser	GIL-15940	5889
7609 7590 09/30/2008 RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP 925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405				
EXAMINER				
PAK, JOHN D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/30/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,895	GEISSER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John Pak	1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) John Pak.

(2) Christopher Korff.

**Status of Application: After-Final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 September 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 2 and claims dependent thereon.*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Earlier on 9/22/2008, the Examiner called Mr. Korff to discuss the objection to claim 2 and its dependent claims. The Examiner explained that the "process for producing" does no such thing because the process does not have any steps that would assure that the oxidized products of maltodextrin would stay within average molecular weight of 80,000 to 400,000. No temperature, time or pH conditions are specified, which would provide for the stated claim-required molecular weight feature. The Examiner stated a need to act on this case by Friday, 9/26/2008. Mr. Korff informed the Examiner that he would contact his client and get back to him with an answer. On 9/26/2008, Mr. Korff notified the Examiner via voicemail that he could not obtain an answer in time.